

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

United States of America	)	
v.	)	
Steven Armstrong	)	Case No. 1:23-cr-00462-BYP-6
<hr/> Defendant	)	

**ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL****Part I - Eligibility for Detention**

Upon the

- Motion of the Government attorney pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or  
 Motion of the Government or Court's own motion pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(2),

the Court held a detention hearing and found that detention is warranted. This order sets forth the Court's findings of fact and conclusions of law, as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3142(i), in addition to any other findings made at the hearing.

**Part II - Findings of Fact and Law as to Presumptions under § 3142(e)**

- A. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(2) (*previous violator*):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community because the following conditions have been met:
- (1) the defendant is charged with one of the following crimes described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1):
    - (a) a crime of violence, a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 1591, or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed; **or**
    - (b) an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death; **or**
    - (c) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508); **or**
    - (d) any felony if such person has been convicted of two or more offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph, or two or more State or local offenses that would have been offenses described in subparagraphs (a) through (c) of this paragraph if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed, or a combination of such offenses; **or**
    - (e) any felony that is not otherwise a crime of violence but involves:
      - (i) a minor victim; (ii) the possession of a firearm or destructive device (as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 921);
      - (iii) any other dangerous weapon; or (iv) a failure to register under 18 U.S.C. § 2250; **and**
  - (2) the defendant has previously been convicted of a Federal offense that is described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1), or of a State or local offense that would have been such an offense if a circumstance giving rise to Federal jurisdiction had existed; **and**
  - (3) the offense described in paragraph (2) above for which the defendant has been convicted was committed while the defendant was on release pending trial for a Federal, State, or local offense; **and**
  - (4) a period of not more than five years has elapsed since the date of conviction, or the release of the defendant from imprisonment, for the offense described in paragraph (2) above, whichever is later.

**B. Rebuttable Presumption Arises Under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(e)(3) (*narcotics, firearm, other offenses*):** There is a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community because there is probable cause to believe that the defendant committed one or more of the following offenses:

- (1) an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed in the Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 801-904), the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. §§ 951-971), or Chapter 705 of Title 46, U.S.C. (46 U.S.C. §§ 70501-70508);
- (2) an offense under 18 U.S.C. §§ 924(c), 956(a), or 2332b;
- (3) an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 10 years or more is prescribed;
- (4) an offense under Chapter 77 of Title 18, U.S.C. (18 U.S.C. §§ 1581-1597) for which a maximum term of imprisonment of 20 years or more is prescribed; **or**
- (5) an offense involving a minor victim under 18 U.S.C. §§ 1201, 1591, 2241, 2242, 2244(a)(1), 2245, 2251, 2251A, 2252(a)(1), 2252(a)(2), 2252(a)(3), 2252A(a)(1), 2252A(a)(2), 2252A(a)(3), 2252A(a)(4), 2260, 2421, 2422, 2423, or 2425.

**C. Conclusions Regarding Applicability of Any Presumption Established Above**

- The defendant has not introduced sufficient evidence to rebut the presumption above, and detention is ordered on that basis. *(Part III need not be completed.)*

**OR**

- The defendant has presented evidence sufficient to rebut the presumption, but after considering the presumption and the other factors discussed below, detention is warranted.

**Part III - Analysis and Statement of the Reasons for Detention**

After considering the factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and the information presented at the detention hearing, the Court concludes that the defendant must be detained pending trial because the Government has proven:

- By clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.
- By a preponderance of evidence that no condition or combination of conditions of release will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance as required.

In addition to any findings made on the record at the hearing, the reasons for detention include the following:

- Weight of evidence against the defendant is strong
- Subject to lengthy period of incarceration if convicted
- Prior criminal history
- Participation in criminal activity while on probation, parole, or supervision
- History of violence or use of weapons
- History of alcohol or substance abuse
- Lack of stable employment
- Lack of stable residence
- Lack of financially responsible sureties

- Lack of significant community or family ties to this district
- Significant family or other ties outside the United States
- Lack of legal status in the United States
- Subject to removal or deportation after serving any period of incarceration
- Prior failure to appear in court as ordered
- Prior attempt(s) to evade law enforcement
- Use of alias(es) or false documents
- Background information unknown or unverified
- Prior violations of probation, parole, or supervised release

#### OTHER REASONS OR FURTHER EXPLANATION:

(1) Nature and circumstances of offense (18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(1)): The defendant is charged with conspiracy to possess a machinegun, conspiracy to deal in firearms without a federal firearms license, illegal possession of a machinegun, and being a felon in possession of a firearm, all inherently dangerous offences. The defendant allegedly participated in the recorded sale of an untraceable "ghost gun" to a confidential informant, after which he offered and sold a machine gun conversion switch to the same informant, despite a prior felony conviction that precluded him from possessing any firearm. This factor weighs in favor of detention.

(2) Weight of evidence (18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(2)): The defendant is alleged to have possessed and sold dangerous and illegal firearms while prohibited from possessing any firearm. This factor weighs in favor of a finding of dangerousness and an order of detention.

(3) History and characteristics of defendant (18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(3)): The defendant is 19 years old, has a 10th grade education, and is a lifelong Cleveland resident. He lived with his mother and six siblings since August 2023 and was unemployed since February 2023. His mother is willing to serve as a third party custodian and allow him to live with her, but the residence was deemed unsuitable because it is government subsidized housing that would exclude the defendant based on his criminal history. The defendant was convicted of felonious assault (F2) in April 2022 and sentenced to two years of community control. While on pretrial release, he violated GPS monitoring. While on community control, in late-2022, he failed to appear at a community control violation hearing and a capias was issued. His probation officer reports that he routinely failed to report to substance abuse treatment and meetings with his supervising officer, submitted a urinalysis positive for alcohol and marijuana in November 2022, and last reported in December 2022 before absconding from supervision. The acts charged in this case occurred six months later. Upon his arrest, the defendant tested positive for marijuana and admitted daily marijuana use. He could not provide a reason for his prior failure to report to probation or attend his violation hearing. The defendant allegedly committed the gun crimes charged in this case while actively in violation of community control for a violent crime and despite prohibitions on any gun possession. This factor weighs in favor of detention.

4) Nature and seriousness of danger to any person or community that would be posed by defendant's release (18 U.S.C. 3142(g)(4)): The defendant allegedly possessed a machinegun conversion switch and a firearm, and participated in the charged firearm conspiracy, despite a felony conviction for a violent crime and after absconding from county supervision. This factor favors detention.

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds the government has met its burden to establish by a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions that will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance in the case or by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of others and the community.

#### Part IV - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is remanded to the custody of the Attorney General or to the Attorney General's designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the United States or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to a United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.

Date: 10/04/2023

s/Amanda M. Knapp

United States Magistrate Judge